## **SECTION 1 – MAJOR APPLICATIONS**

**LIST NO:** 1/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2394/05/CFU

LOCATION: Land R/O Greenford Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** Gillett Macleod Partnership for Mr G Birch

Demolition of 32 and 34; redevelopment to provide 10 flats in detached PROPOSAL:

2 storey building and 1 detached 2 storey house with access and parking

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, for the reasons reported.

**LIST NO:** 1/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2537/05/CRE

LOCATION: 16-28 Bonnersfield Lane, Harrow

The Carl Fisher Partnership for Roger Bunting APPLICANT:

Renewal of Permission: E/786/00/FUL: 3/4 storey building to provide PROPOSAL:

14 flats, access and parking (resident permit restricted)

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

LIST NO: 1/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2328/05/CFU

LOCATION: 14-20 High Street, Wealdstone

**APPLICANT:** Hepher Dixon for Genesis Housing Group

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: 57 flats, 1 retail unit in 2 x 5/6 storey blocks; parking and

access off Palmerston Road (resident permit restricted)

**DECISION:** WITHDRAWN.

**LIST NO:** 1/04 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2395/05/CFU

LOCATION: 50-54 Northolt Road, South Harrow

Greendev (Harrow) LLP APPLICANT:

PROPOSAL: Redevelopment: part 3/5/6 storey building to provide 26 flats, access and

parking (resident permit restricted)

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and

submitted plans, for the following reason:

The proposed development, by reason of excessive size, bulk and (i) site coverage by buildings, would not respect the scale and massing of the neighbouring properties on Shaftesbury Avenue, would amount to an overdevelopment of the site, and would be overbearing, to the detriment of the neighbouring residents at 3/5 Shaftesbury Avenue and the character of the locality.

[Notes: (1) During discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused. Upon being put to a vote, this

was carried:

(2) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan, Mrs Joyce Nickolay and Thornton wished to be recorded as having voted for the

decision to refuse the application;

(3) Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Thammiah and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to

refuse the application].

(4) the Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted].

## **SECTION 2 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR GRANT**

LIST NO: 2/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2245/05/DFU

LOCATION: 45 Marlborough Hill

Mr N I Sadiq APPLICANT:

PROPOSAL: Conversion of dwellinghouse to 2 self-contained flats including single storey

side/rear extension (resident permit restricted)

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

[Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above item, it was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the following reason:

(i) The proposal represents an over intensive use of the property to the detriment of the neighbouring residents and will give rise to disturbance and the loss of amenity associated with the creation of

an additional dwelling.

(ii) The proposal is under provision on parking, offering only one off street parking space, which will give rise to excessive pressure on the already permit restricted area to the detriment of the amenities of the surrounding roads and properties.

Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(2) the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried;

(3) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision to grant the application].

2/02 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2037/05/CFU **LIST NO:** 

121-123 Byron Road, Wealdstone LOCATION:

**APPLICANT:** Alan Cox Associates for Cairnpark Properties Ltd

Redevelopment construction of 2 storey block of 9 flats with accommodation PROPOSAL:

in roof, rear access and parking (resident permit restricted)

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported, and the following amendments to the conditions:

<u>Condition 4 to be amended to read:</u>
"Landscaping to be approved. Such details to include particular emphasis on screening to the flank boundaries of the rear garden areas".

New Condition 12 to read:

"No development shall take place until a plan indicating the position, height, design and luminance of lighting to the rear car parking area has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. Such details to comprise low level bollard type lighting. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and shall thereafter be retained. REASON: To safeguard the amenity of neighbouring residents

and the character of the locality.

(See also Minute 1048)

**LIST NO:** 2/03 **APPLICATION NO:** P/1829/05/DFU

**LOCATION:** 139 Stanmore Hill, Stanmore

**APPLICANT:** Kishore Karia for Dr Hatim Kapadia

**PROPOSAL:** Two storey rear extension; double garage at rear; 1 vehicle crossover at

front

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

**LIST NO**: 2/04 **APPLICATION NO**: P/2433/05/CFU

**LOCATION:** Headstone Manor Recreation Ground, Pinner View

**APPLICANT:** Harrow Council, Urban Living

**PROPOSAL:** Construction of temporary silt lagoons (associated with de-silting of moat)

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported, as amended on the Addendum.

**LIST NO:** 2/05 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2449/05/DFU

**LOCATION:** 12 Warham Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** David R Yeaman & Associates for Mr J Cooper

**PROPOSAL:** Single storey rear extension, rear dormer window and conversion to two self

contained flats

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

[Note: The Committee noted the correction to the description, as set out in

the Addendum].

**LIST NO**: 2/06 **APPLICATION NO**: P/2476/05/CFU

**LOCATION:** Cornerways, 13 South View Road, Pinner

**APPLICANT:** Mr and Mrs A Watkinson

PROPOSAL: Renewal of Permission WEST/649/01/FUL: Two storey side to rear

extension

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the

application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives

reported.

[Note: The Committee noted the correction to the description, as set out in

the Addendum].

## **SECTION 4 – CONSULTATIONS FROM NEIGHBOURING AUTHORITIES**

**LIST NO:** 4/01 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2546/05/CNA

LOCATION: 158 Burnt Oak Broadway, Edgware

**APPLICANT:** London Borough of Barnet

PROPOSAL: Consultation: Details of access, siting, design, external appearance of

residential phase, pursuant to outline permission

**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development as set out in the application.

> [Note: The Committee noted that the development proposal had been considered by Barnet Council and refused by them for the reasons set out

in the Addendum].

LIST NO: 4/02 P/2660/05/CNA **APPLICATION NO:** 

LOCATION: 158 Burnt Oak Broadway, Edgware

**APPLICANT:** London Borough of Barnet

Consultation: Details of access, siting, design/external appearance of PROPOSAL:

residential phase, pursuant to outline permission (duplicate)

**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development as set out in the application.

> [Note: The Committee noted that the development proposal had been considered by Barnet Council and refused by them for the reasons set out

in the Addendum].

## **SECTION 5 – TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVELOPMENTS**

**LIST NO: APPLICATION NO:** P/2593/05/CDT

LOCATION: Stanmore Cricket Club, The Common, Stanmore

**APPLICANT:** LCC Development Services

PROPOSAL: Determination: Installation of 15m high imitation flagpole phone mast and

equipment cabinets

**DECISION:** (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of details of siting and appearance is

required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the following

reasons:

The proposal, by reason of excessive size and unsatisfactory siting, would be visually obtrusive and unduly prominent to the detriment of the amenity of local residents and the visual amenity of the surrounding locality. (i)

[Notes: (1) The Group Manager (Planning and Development) had recommended that the above application be granted;

(2) the officer's report for the above application had been circulated on the Second Addendum].

(See also Minute 1041)

**LIST NO: APPLICATION NO:** P/2776/05/CDT

LOCATION: Land at Roundabout of Streatfield Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** T Mobile

PROPOSAL: Installation of a 10m high imitation telegraph pole and 2 equipment cabinets

**DECISION:** (1) RESOLVED that prior approval of details of siting and appearance is

required.

(2) REFUSED approval of details of siting/appearance for the reasons and

informative reported.

[The officer's report for the above application had been circulated on the

Second Addendum